OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0042

Expires: April 30, 2009

Estimated average burden hours per response: 1646

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXHANGE COMMISSION Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-KSB

(Mark ([X]		006	E SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934			
[] 1934	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO	OR SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF	THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF			
1934	For the transition period from	to	<u> </u>			
		MOUNTAIN GO				
	(Exact name of	f Registrant as specified in it	s charter)			
	IDAHO	001-08429	91-1031075			
	(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)	(Commission File Number)	(IRS Employer Identification No.)			
	1239 PARKVIEW DRIVE, ELKO,	NEVADA	89801			
	(Address of principal executive office	es)	(Zip Code)			
	(Issuer's to	(775) 738-9826 elephone number, including area co	de)			
Securiti	es registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the	Act: None				
Securiti	es registered under Section 12(g) of the Exch	nange Act: Common Stock,	par value \$0.05			
the past		he Company was required to	or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act during of file such reports), and (2) has been subject to			
disclosu		y's knowledge, in definitive	on S-B is not contained in this form, and no e proxy or information statements incorporated KSB. []			
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes [] No [X]						
The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of December 31, 2006 was \$1,129,706. This figure is based on estimated bid price of \$0.14 as of December 29, 2006 of \$0.14 per share.						
Issued and outstanding common capital stock as of March 31, 2007: 8,069,327 shares of common \$0.05 par value stock non-assessable.						
Docum	ents Incorporated by reference: Form 8-K, as	filed on February 21, 2007.				
Transiti	onal small business disclosure format: Yes [] No [X]				
	87 (5-06) Potential persons who are to respond to the form displays a currently valid OMB control nu		contained in this form are not required to respond			

THUNDER MOUNTAIN GOLD, INC. Form 10-KSB

December 31, 2006

Table of Co	ontents	<u>Page</u>
	Part I	
Item 1	Description of Business	3
Item 2	Description of Properties	7
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	7
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	8
	Part II	
Item 5	Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	8
Item 6	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Plan of Operation	9
Item 7	Financial Statements and Supplemental Information	12
Item 8	Changes in and Disagreement with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	28
Item 8A	Controls and Procedures	28
Item 8B	Other Information	29
	Part III	
Item 9	Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons, Compliance Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	30
Item 10	Executive Compensation	31
Item 11	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	35
Item 12	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	36
Item 13	Exhibits	37
Item 14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	37
Signatures		38

PART I

ITEM 1 - DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Forward-Looking Statements

This Report on Form 10-KSB contains certain forward-looking statements. These forward looking statements include statements regarding exploration and development plans, marketing plans, capital and operations expenditures, and results of operations.

The forward-looking statements included herein are based on current expectations and involve a number of risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are based on assumptions regarding the business of Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc., ("the Company" "the Registrant" "us" or "we"), which involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic and competitive conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond the control of the Company. Although the Company believes that the assumptions underlying the forward looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove inaccurate and, therefore, actual results may differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements.

Company History

The Company was originally incorporated under the laws of the State of Idaho on November 9, 1935, under the name of Montgomery Mines, Inc. In April, 1978 the Montgomery Mines Corporation was obtained by a group of the Thunder Mountain property holders and changed its name to Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. with the primary goal to further develop their holdings in the Thunder Mountain Mining District, Valley County, Idaho. In August 1985, the Company's shareholders approved an increase in the authorized common stock, \$0.05 par value, from 7,500,000 shares to 12,000,000 shares. Quotations of the bid and ask prices for the stock are published by the Spokane Quotations Service of Spokane, Washington.

Current Operations

Thunder Mountain Gold is a mineral exploration stage company with no producing mines, and no current mining properties. The Company intends to remain in the business of exploring for mining properties that have the potential to produce gold, silver, base metals and other commodities. The cash generated from the 2005 sale of the Company's properties in the Thunder Mountain Mining District have allowed the Company to maintain a regional exploration program during 2006.

Reports To Security Holders:

The Registrant does not issue annual or quarterly reports to security holders other than the annual Form 10-KSB and quarterly Forms 10-QSB as electronically filed with the SEC. Electronically filed reports may be accessed at www.sec.gov. Interested parties also may read and copy any materials filed with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N. W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information may be obtained on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1 (800) SEC-0330.

Risk Factors:

The Company is in the business of conducting exploration for mineral properties that have the potential for discovery of economic mineral resources. The Company is committing money and talent to this effort, and has added two properties to its inventory. As with any mineral exploration activity, there is always a risk of not being successful in finding and securing a property that has potential for the discovery of a valuable mineral resources. Material risks associated with the Company's operations are as follows:

The Company will likely need to raise additional capital to continue our operations, and if we fail to obtain the capital necessary to fund our operations, we will be unable to continue our exploration efforts and may have to cease operations.

At December 31, 2006, we had cash of \$1,054,927. We believe this cash will be sufficient to meet our current operating and capital requirements for at least the next 12 months. However, we have based this estimate on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and we cannot assure that estimates and assumptions will remain unchanged. For example, we are currently assuming that we will have undertaken further exploration efforts over the next 12 months without any significant staff or other resources expansion. To the extent we pursue further exploration efforts or acquire additional mining properties, we will need to raise additional capital to fund development costs. For the year ended December 31, 2006 net cash used for operating activities was \$682,114, which includes the payment of 2005 federal and state tax of \$503, 514 and \$43,760 for 2006 shareholder maintenance, accounting, auditing and filing. Our future liquidity and capital requirements will depend on many factors, including timing, cost and progress of our exploration efforts, our evaluation of, and decisions with respect to, our strategic alternatives, and costs associated with the regulatory approvals. If it turns out that we do not have enough money to complete our exploration programs, we will try to raise additional funds from a second public offering, a private placement or loans. At the present time, we have not made any plans to raise additional money and there is no assurance that we would be able to raise additional money in the future. If we need additional money, and can't raise it, we will have to suspend or cease operations.

We believe that additional financing will be required in the future to fund our operations. We do not know whether additional financing will be available when needed or on acceptable terms, if at all. If we are unable to raise additional financing when necessary, we may have to delay our exploration efforts or any property acquisitions or be forced to cease operations. Collaborative arrangements may require us to relinquish our rights to certain of our mining claims.

Our exploration efforts may be adversely affected by metals price volatility causing us to cease exploration efforts.

We have no earnings. However, the success of any exploration efforts is derived from the price of metal prices that are affected by numerous factors including: 1) expectations for inflation; 2) investor speculative activities; 3) relative exchange rate of the U.S. dollar to other currencies; 4)global and regional demand and production; 5)global and regional political and economic conditions; and 6)production costs in major producing regions. These factors are beyond our control and are impossible for us to predict.

There is no guarantee that current favorable prices for metals and other commodities will be sustained. If the market prices for these commodities fall we to temporarily suspend or cease exploration efforts.

Our mineral exploration efforts may not be successful.

Mineral exploration, particularly for silver and gold, is highly speculative. It involves many risks and is often does not produce positive results. Even if we find a valuable mineral deposit, it may be three years or more before production is possible because of the need for additional detailed exploration, pre-production studies, permitting, financing, construction and start up.

During that time, it may become economically unfeasible to produce those minerals. Establishing ore reserves requires us to make substantial capital expenditures and, in the case of new properties, to construct mining and processing facilities. As a result of these costs and uncertainties, we will not be able to develop any potentially economic mineral deposits.

We have no known mineral reserves and if we cannot find any we will have to cease operations.

We have no mineral reserves, and if we not find a mineral reserve containing gold, or if we cannot explore the mineral reserve, either because we do not have the money to do it or because it will not be economically feasible to do it, we will have to cease operations and you could lose your investment.

We face strong competition from other mining companies for the acquisition of new properties.

If we do find an economic mineral reserve, and it is put into production, it should be noted that mines have limited lives and as a result, we need to continually seek to find new properties. In addition, there is a limited supply of desirable mineral lands available in the United States or elsewhere where we would consider conducting exploration activities. Because we face strong competition for new properties from other exploration and mining companies, some of whom have greater financial resources than we do, we may be unable to acquire attractive new mining properties on terms that we consider acceptable.

Mining operations may be adversely affected by risks and hazards associated with the mining industry.

These include a number of risks and hazards including: 1) environmental hazards; 2) political and country risks; 3) industrial accidents; 4) labor disputes; 5) unusual or unexpected geologic formations; 6) high wall failures, cave-ins or explosive rock failures, and; 7) flooding and periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions. Such risks could result in: 1)

damage to or destruction of mineral properties or producing facilities; 2) personal injury; 3) environmental damage; 4) delays in exploration efforts; 5) monetary losses, and; 6) legal liability.

We have no insurance against any of these risks. To the extent we are subject to environmental liabilities, we would have to pay for these liabilities. Moreover, in the event that we ever become an operator of a mine, and unable to fully pay for the cost of remedying an environmental problem, should they occur, we might be required to suspend operations or enter into other interim compliance measures.

Because we are small and do not have much capital, we must limit our exploration. This may prevent us from realizing any revenues, this reducing the value of the stock and you may lose your investment as a result.

Because our Company is small and does not have much capital, we must limit the time and money we expend on exploration of interests in our properties. In particular, we may not be able to: 1) devote the time we would like to exploring our properties; 2) spend as much money as we would like to exploring our properties; 3) rent the quality of equipment or hire the contractors we would like to have for exploration; and 4) have the number of people working on our properties that we would like to have. By limiting our operations, it may take longer to explore our properties. There are other larger exploration companies that could and may spend more time and money exploring the properties that we have acquired.

We will have to suspend our exploration plans if we do not have access to all our supplies and materials we need.

Competition and unforeseen limited sources of supplies in the industry could result in occasional spot shortages of supplies, like dynamite, and equipment like bulldozers and excavators that we might need to conduct exploration. We have not attempted to locate or negotiate with any suppliers of products, equipment or materials. We will attempt to locate products, equipment and materials after we have conducted preliminary exploration activities on our properties. If we cannot find the products and equipment we need, we will have to suspend our exploration plans until we do find the products and equipment we need.

We face substantial governmental regulation and environmental risks, which could prevent us from exploring or developing our properties.

Our business is subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations governing development, production, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, use of toxic substances, environmental regulations, mine safety and other matters. New legislation and regulations may be adopted at any time that results in additional operating expense, capital expenditures or restrictions and delays in the exploration, mining, production or development of our properties.

At this time, we have no specific financial obligations for environmental costs. Various laws and permits require that financial assurances be in place for certain environmental and reclamation obligations and other potential liabilities. Once we undertake any trenching or drilling activities, a reclamation bond and a permit will be required under applicable laws. Currently, we have no obligations for financial assurances of any kind, and unable to undertake any trenching, drilling, or

development on any of our properties until we obtain financial assurances pursuant to applicable regulations to cover potential liabilities.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to detect fraud or report our financial results accurately, which could harm our business and we could be subject to regulatory scrutiny.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("Section 404"), we will be required, beginning in fiscal year 2007, to perform an evaluation of our internal controls over financial reporting and have our independent registered public accounting firm test and evaluate the design and operating effectiveness of such internal controls and publicly attest to such evaluation. We have prepared an internal plan of action for compliance with the requirements of Section 404, which includes a timeline and scheduled activities, although as of the date of this filing we have not yet completed the effectiveness evaluation. Although we believe our internal controls are operating effectively, we cannot guarantee that we will not have any material weaknesses as reported by our independent registered public accounting firm. Compliance with the requirements of Section 404 is expected to be expensive and time-consuming. If we fail to complete this evaluation in a timely manner, or if our independent registered public accounting firm cannot timely attest to our evaluation, we could be subject to regulatory scrutiny and a loss of public confidence in our internal controls. In addition, any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation, could harm our operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations.

ITEM 2 - DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

During the first quarter of 2006, the Company completed the staking unpatented lode mining claims on two properties, one in the Tonopah Mining District in Esmeralda County, Nevada and one in the Clover Mountain area of Owyhee County, Idaho.

- 1. The first property consists of eight unpatented lode claims within a favorable target area that has had historic production of silver and gold production. A detailed geologic interpretation of the area staked indicates that the claims could cover an underground extension of the vein system that was mined during the historic period of the Tonopah district.
- 2. The second property consists of forty unpatented lode claims covering a complex granitic intrusive complex. Low-level anomalous geochemistry was identified during regional reconnaissance exploration activities, and the claims were situated to cover the area.

ITEM 3-LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company has no legal actions pending against it and it is not a party to any suits in any court of law, nor are the directors aware of any claims which could give rise to or investigations pending by the Securities and Exchange Commission or any other governmental agency. The Company is not involved in any civil rights negotiations or proceedings.

ITEM 4 - SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO VOTE ON SECURITY HOLDERS

Management intends to conduct the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in mid-2007. No matter was submitted to the shareholders for vote during the fourth quarter of 2006.

PART II

ITEM 5 - MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Market Information:

The common stock of the Registrant is traded on the over-the-counter market operated by NASDAQ (OTCBB) under the symbol "THMG".

The bid prices for the Registrant's stock for the years 2005 and 2006 were as follows:

PERIOD	HIGH	LOW
2005		
First Quarter	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.21
Second Quarter	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.17
Third Quarter	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.15
Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.10
2006		
First Quarter	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.09
Second Quarter	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.11
Third Quarter	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.11
Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.10

At February 28, 2006, the price per share quoted on the OTCBB was \$0.20.

Holders:

As of December 31, 2006, there were 2,041 shareholders of record of the Company's common stock. 1,883,525 shares of the Company's common stock were cancelled as a result of the purchase from Donald J. Nelson and Ronald C. Yanke as part of the 2005 purchase of Dewey Mining Company. This event occurred in 2006, and significantly reduced the number of outstanding securities.

Dividends:

The Registrant has not paid any dividends, and does not plan to do so in the foreseeable future.

Securities Authorized For Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans:

None.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds From Registered Securities:

During the period covered by this report the Company sold the following equity securities that were not registered under the Security Act of 1933, as amended, only in connection with services provided by directors and other consultants:

On October 15, 2006, the Board of Directors granted options for a total of 360,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock, \$0.05 par value, exercisable for a period of 5 years, with an exercise price of \$0.11 per share. The options were granted to the officers and directors of the Company, namely, Pete Parsley, E. James Collord, Eric T. Jones, Robin S. McRae and Edward Fields. The securities issued to Officers and Directors were provided as part of their compensation for services rendered or to be rendered for the Company. The securities were offered pursuant to an exemption to registration provided under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

Additionally on October 15, 2006, the Board of Directors granted options to acquire a total of 75,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock, \$0.05 par value, exercisable for a period of 5 years, with an exercise price of \$0.11 per share, each to the Company's counsel (50,000 share options) and an accountant (25,000 share options). The securities issued to counsel and our accountant were originally provided as compensation for services rendered or to be rendered for the Company. The securities were offered pursuant to an exemption to registration provided under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

ITEM 6 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND PLAN OF OPERATION

This report contains both historical and prospective statements concerning the Company and its operations. Prospective statements (known as "forward-looking statements") may or may not prove true with passage of time because of future risks and uncertainties. The Company cannot predict what factors might cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by prospective statements.

Plan of Operation:

The financial condition of the Company was positive during 2006 and the metals commodity markets continued to be favorable during the year. Positive advances were made with the exploration program that commenced in April 2006 when Pete Parsley was hired on a full-time basis as Vice President, Director and Exploration Manager.

The reconnaissance-level exploration effort conducted during 2006 resulted in the identification of two areas that deserve further detailed geologic investigation. Two claim groups covering these areas were staked in early 2007:

1. West Tonopah Claim Group:

During the period 1900 to 1952, the Tonopah District produced 174,153,600 ounces silver and 1,861,000 ounces of gold from 8,798,000 tons of ore for an average grade of approximately 20 opt silver and 0.21 opt gold. Approximately 50% of this production came from what are called the "contact veins" in the

western part of the district where the mineralization occurs near the contacts of the Mizpah Andesite and Tonopah Formation Rhyolite with the domed-shaped West End Rhyolite intrusive sill.

Eight unpatented lode mining claims totaling approximately 160 acres were staked in the Tonopah Mining district of Esmeralda County, Nevada. The claims are located on what has been interpreted to be the offset portion of the West End and Ohio veins along the south limb of the West End Rhyolite intrusive dome. The target is projected to be 500 to 800 feet deep and could initially be tested by surface drilling. The typical veins historically mined in this area are projected to be 10-20 feet thick, with ore shoots up to 50 feet thick. Grades historically mined in the area were 15 to 20 ounces per ton (opt) silver and 0.15 to 0.20 opt gold. There is approximately 3,000 feet of relatively unexplored strike length and the veins could extend to depths of 2,000 feet.

2. Clover Mountain Claim Group:

Thunder Mountain Gold completed a claim staking program in the Clover Mountain area which is located in Owyhee County, Idaho, approximately 70 miles south of Boise, Idaho. The property consists of 40 unpatented lode mining claims totaling approximately 800 acres.

A geologic reconnaissance program in the fall of 2006 identified anomalous gold, silver, and other base metals in rock chips and soils at Clover Mountain. Mineralization appears to be associated with subtle stockwork veining in a granitic stock which has been intruded by northeast and northwest trending rhyolitic dikes. The property is overlain by locally silicified rhyolitic tuff.

Thunder Mountain is preparing to initiate a more detailed geologic mapping and soil sampling program for 2007. This program is designed to identify mineralized structures associated with stockwork veining adjacent to rhyolite dikes.

For purposes of any discussion of the West Tonopah and Clover Mountain Claim Groups, "mineralized material" is generally defined as a mineralized body which has been delineated by appropriately spaced drilling and/or underground sampling to support a sufficient tonnage and average grade of metals.

Reconnaissance-level exploration and property evaluation will continue during 2007. The focus of this work will be in Idaho and Nevada, plus adjoining western states.

Expenses for 2007 will be for salaries and overhead, travel and field expenses, consultants and property acquisition costs. Expenses will also be incurred for administrative and legal costs that are considered normal corporate expenses.

Financial Condition and Liquidity:

The Company has a positive cash-position and maintained its liquid assets in a Merrill Lynch cash management fund. The Company's cash and liquid assets are considered adequate to meet its current and near-term corporate obligations. With the funds received from the private placement (see below), the Company does not foresee any necessity to raise additional funds during the next twelve-month period. Management believes that its funds are sufficient to meet its exploration and corporate expenses incurred during the next 12 months.

Fees were paid to the two new directors and to E. James Collord (who did not receive any prepaid compensation in 2005 for services to be rendered in 2006, as did Pete Parsley and Robin McRae). The following directors received fees as outlined: E. James Collord, President and CEO, \$12,500, Eric T. Jones, Secretary/Treasurer and CFO, \$5,000, and Edward Fields, Director, \$3,000.

Capital Expenditures

The Company purchased minor equipment during 2006 that will be utilized for their future corporate and exploration efforts. Equipment purchased includes a used geiger counter for use in uranium exploration, a GPS for field use, a Dell 490 workstation with geographical information system and geologic interpretative software. In March, 2007, the Company purchased a used 2003 Ford F-250 pickup for field use by Pete Parsley; the truck was purchased from Mr. Parsley based on a Kelley Blue Book pricing plus allowances for condition and options.

Cancellation of treasury stock

As part of the purchase of Dewey Mining Company, the Company also acquired 1,883,525 shares of Company stock that were held by the principal shareholders of Dewey Mining Company and returned them to the Company's treasury. During 2006 the Company cancelled the treasury shares.

Private Placement

On February 16, 2007 the Board initiated a private offering of 2.5 million shares the Company's common stock, par value \$0.05, at a price of \$0.05 per share. The offer was limited to Directors, Management and key consultants for the Company. The price per share for the offering was established after receiving a fairness opinion from a third-party securities broker, Public Securities, Inc. of Spokane, WA. All stock purchased under the private offering will be subject to certain restrictions, specifically the purchasers will be restricted from selling or otherwise transferring the stock for a period of three years, unless and only in the case of a merger, consolidation of the Company, or sale or disposition of all assets of the Company. An 8-K was filed with the SEC regarding the private offering on February 21, 2007. The private offering was completed by March 16, 2007. A total of \$125,000 was raised from the private offering.

Critical Accounting Policies:

We have identified our critical accounting policies, the application of which may materially affect the financial statements, either because of the significance of the financial statement item to which they relate, or because they require management's judgment in making estimates and assumptions in measuring, at a specific point in time, events which will be settled in the future. The critical accounting policies, judgments and estimates which management believes have the most significant effect on the financial statements are set forth below:

• Expenses and disclosures associated with accounting for stock-based compensation. As of January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123(R)"), which requires the measurement of the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of an equity instrument on the grant-date fair value of the award. We have chosen to use the modified prospective transition method under SFAS 123(R). We used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair market value

of stock options issued under our stock-based compensation plan, which determines the recognition of associated compensation expense. This valuation model requires the use of judgment in applying assumptions of risk-free interest rate, stock price volatility and the expected life of the options. The appropriate judgment is required in the assumptions and estimates used in this valuation.

• Estimates regarding income taxes. We have significant current income tax assets recorded in our financial statements that are based on our estimates relating to federal and state income tax benefits. Our judgments regarding federal and state income tax rates, items that may or may not be deductible for income tax purposes and income tax regulations themselves are critical to the Company's financial statement income tax items. In 2006, the Company paid \$503,514 in federal and state income tax for their 2005 income tax returns. The Company expects a refund of income tax in the amount of \$107,439 from operating loss carryback due to loss in 2006.

Other Information:

Please refer to the financial statements for additional costs and expenditures and other financial information.

The Company had no production from operations for 2006 or 2005.

For 2006 and 2005, gross revenues were \$0.

The Company had one employee during the year ended December 31, 2006, and none for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Off Balance-Sheet Arrangements:

During the 12 months ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company did not engage in any off-balance sheet arrangements as defined in Item 303(c) of the SEC's Regulation S-B.

ITEM 7 - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	14
Balance Sheets at December 31, 2006 and 2005	15
Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and from the period of exploration stage from 1991 through 2006	17
Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and from the period of exploration stage from 1991 through 2006	18
Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and from the period of exploration stage from 1991 through 2006	19
Notes to Financial Statements	21

A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FIRM



Certified Public Accountants | Business Consultants

1105 W. Francis, Suite A Spokane, Washington 99205

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. (An Exploration Company)

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. (An Exploration Stage Company) as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements, during 2006, Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. changed its method of accounting for equity-based compensation in accordance with guidance provided in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment".

/s/ DeCoria, Maichel & Teague P.S.

DeCoria, Maichel & Teague P.S. February 19, 2007

THUNDER MOUNTAIN GOLD, INC. (An Exploration Stage Company) BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005

	 2006	2005
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents Prepaid expenses Federal and state income tax refunds receivable	\$ 1,054,927 1,544 107,439	\$ 1,739,373 44,888 -
Total Current Assets	 1,163,910	1,784,261
Investments (Non-current)	 1,565	1,565
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT Automotive Office equipment	47,186 4,581	47,186 2,249
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(19,986)	(9,887)
Net Property and Equipment	 31,781	39,548
Total Assets	\$ 1,197,256	\$ 1,825,374

THUNDER MOUNTAIN GOLD, INC. (An Exploration Stage Company) BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005

	 2006	2005
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable Federal and state income taxes payable	\$ 8,035 \$	29,250 503,514
Total Current Liabilities	 8,035	532,764
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Common stock, \$0.05 par value; 12,000,000 shares authorized; 8,069,327 and 9,727,852 shares issued respectively	403,466	486,392
Additional paid-in capital	319,007	270,602
Less: 11,700 and 1,895,225 shares of treasury stock, at cost	(24,200)	(400,905)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	485	485
Retained deficit	(212,793)	(212,793)
Retained earnings accumulated during the exploration stage (1991 through 2006)	 703,256	1,148,829
Total Stockholders' Equity	 1,189,221	1,292,610
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 1,197,256 \$	1,825,374

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005 AND FROM THE PERIOD OF EXPLORATION STAGE FROM 1991 THROUGH 2006

		2006		2005		rring Exploration Stage (1991 through 2006) (Unaudited)
INCOME	Φ.		Φ		Φ	000 500
Royalties	\$	-	\$	-	\$	328,500
EXPENSES						
Exploration		23,905		23,042		595,962
Depreciation and depletion		10,099		9,887		56,256
Directors' fees and professional services		76,565		136,380		577,745
Legal and accounting		72,982		53,156		250,796
Management and administrative		133,229		11,885		386,349
Total Expenses		316,780		234,350		1,867,108
(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS		(316,780)		(234,350)		(1,538,608)
OTHER INCOME						
Gain on sale of property and mining claims		-		2,576,112		2,576,112
Interest and dividend income		37,462		15,340		248,366
Interest expense		-		(13,807)		(27,706)
Gain on sale of securities		-		16,712		166,116
Adjustments for impairment of investments		-		-		(51,255)
		37,462		2,594,357		2,911,633
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES		(279,318)		2,360,007		1,373,025
(PROVISION) BENEFIT FOR INCOME TAXES		107,439		(503,514)		(396,075)
NET INCOME (LOSS)		(171,879)		1,856,493		976,950
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS), NET OF TAX						
Unrealized holding loss arising during the period		-		-		(53,539)
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income		_		(17,622)		(97,586)
Reclassification adjustment for difference between cost and carrying value of securities sold during the period				(**,*==)		(01,000)
previously included in other comprehensive income		_		_		151,610
Other comprehensive income (loss)				(17,622)		485
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$	(171,879)	\$	1,838,871	\$	977,435
EARNINGS (LOSS) REPOLARE						
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE	Φ.	(0.00)	Φ.	0.00		
Basic	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.20		
Diluted	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.20	•	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES-BASIC		8,056,394		9,088,310	ı	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES-DILUTED		8,056,394		9,130,684	•	

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005, AND FROM THE PERIOD OF EXPLORATION STAGE FROM 1991 THROUGH 2006

		2006		2005	D	Ouring Exploration Stage (1991 through 2006) (Unaudited)
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED (USED) BY		2000		2000		(Criadatioa)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net income (loss)	\$	(171,879)	\$	1,856,493	\$	976,950
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used by operating activities:						
Depreciation and depletion		10,099		9,887		56,256
Options issued for professional services		39,240		16,380		55,620
Gain on sale of property and mining claims Gain on sale of securities and other assets		-		(2,576,112) (16,712)		(2,576,112) (160,441)
Impairment loss on securities included in net loss		_		(10,712)		51,255
Change in:		_		_		31,233
Prepaid expenses		43,344		(44,888)		(1,544)
Receivables		, <u>-</u>		-		124,955
Accrued interest		-		(18,506)		· -
Taxes payable/refundable		(610,953)		503,514		(107,439)
Accounts payable		8,035		29,250		(6,533)
Net Cash Used By Operating Activities		(682,114)		(240,694)		(1,587,033)
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED (USED) BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES						
Proceeds from sale of property and mining claims		-		5,500,000		5,500,000
Purchase of Dewey Mining Co. mining claims		-		(2,923,888)		(2,923,888)
Purchase of investments		-		-		(354,530)
Purchase of equipment		(2,332)		(49,435)		(120,621)
Proceeds from disposition of investments		-		16,712		642,645
Proceeds from disposition of equipment Net Cash Provided (Used) By Investing Activities		(2,332)		2,543,389	\$	49,310 2,792,916
Net dasir rovided (dsed) by investing Activities		(2,332)		2,040,000	Ψ	2,732,310
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED (USED) BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES						
Proceeds from sale of common stock		-		-	\$	60,000
Reacquisition of common stock		-		(376,705)		(376,755)
Borrowing on related party note payable		-		241,500		241,500
Repayments on related party note payable		-		(241,500)		(241,500)
Borrowing on line-of-credit Repayments on line-of-credit		-		- (188,821)		188,821 (188,821)
Net Cash Used By Financing Activities				(565,526)		(316,755)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH		(684,446)		1,737,169		889,128
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF		(004,440)		1,707,100		000,120
PERIOD		1,739,373		2,204		165,799
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD		1,054,927	\$	1,739,373	\$	1,054,927
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE:						
Cash paid for interest	\$	_	\$	29,071	\$	29,071
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	503,514	\$	- 1	\$	503,514
		·				,
NON-CASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	•		•		<u>^</u>	F0 000
Stock issued for mining contract	\$	-	\$		\$	50,000
Stock issued for accounts payable The accompanying notes are in	<u>\$</u>	29,250	\$		\$	29,250

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005, AND FROM THE PERIOD OF EXPLORATION STAGE FROM 1991 THROUGH 2006

Deficit

	Commo	en Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings (Deficit)	Accumulated During Exploration Stage (1991 through 2006)	Total
Balances at January 1, 1991	7,776,587	\$ 388,829	\$ 254,285	\$ -	\$ (24,150)	\$ 20,002	\$ -	\$ 638,966
Stock previously issued but not	, -,	,,.	, , , , ,	•	, , , , , ,	,	•	,,
recorded by transfer agent	1,265	63	(63)	-	-	-	-	-
Stock cancelled	(50,000)	(2,500)	(10,000)	-	-	-	(00.0=0)	(12,500)
Net loss – 1991		-	-	-	(0.4.450)	-	(82,358)	(82,358)
Balances at December 31, 1991	7,727,852	386,392	244,222	-	(24,150)	20,002	(82,358)	544,108
Stock issued for mining contract Net loss – 1992	1,000,000	50,000	-	-	-	-	(14,718)	50,000 (14,718)
Balances at December 31, 1992	8,727,852	436,392	244,222		(24,150)	20,002	(97,076)	579,390
Stock issued for options exercised	1,000,000	50,000	10,000	_	(21,100)	- 20,002	(01,010)	60,000
Net loss – 1993	-	-	-	_	-	-	(42,942)	(42,942)
Balances at December 31, 1993	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	-	(24,150)	20,002	(140,018)	596,448
Unrealized gain in marketable securities	-	-	-	215,803	-	-	-	215,803
Cumulative effect of change in								
accounting principle	-	-	-	(910)	-	910	(07.474)	(07.474)
Net loss – 1994	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	214,893	(24,150)	20,912	(27,471)	(27,471)
Balances at December 31, 1994 Unrealized gain in marketable	9,727,032	400,392	254,222	214,093	(24,150)	20,912	(167,489)	784,780
securities	_	_	-	141,801	-	_	_	141,801
Net income – 1995	_	_	-	-	_	_	26,367	26,367
Balances at December 31, 1995	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	356,694	(24,150)	20,912	(141,122)	952,948
Unrealized gain in marketable securities		-	-	12,360	-	-	-	12,360
Net income – 1996		-	-	-	-	-	83,029	83,029
Balances at December 31, 1996	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	369,054	(24,150)	20,912	(58,093)	1,048,337
Reacquisition of stock	-	-	-	-	(50)	-	-	(50)
Unrealized loss in marketable securities	=	-	-	(168,521)	-	-	-	(168,521)
Reclassification adjustment for				07.000				07.000
losses included in net income Net loss – 1997	-	-	-	27,389	-	-	(40.420)	27,389
Balances at December 31, 1997	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	227,922	(24,200)	20,912	(10,139) (68,232)	(10,139) 897,016
Unrealized loss in marketable	3,727,002	400,002	204,222	221,322	(24,200)	20,512	(00,202)	007,010
securities	_	_	-	(26,895)	-	-	_	(26,895)
Impairment loss - mining claims	-	-	-	-	-	(233,705)	-	(233,705)
Net loss – 1998	-	-	-	-	-	-	(125,684)	(125,684)
Comprehensive (Loss)		-	-	-	-	-	-	(386,284)
Balances at December 31, 1998	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	201,027	(24,200)	(212,793)	(193,916)	510,732
Unrealized loss in marketable securities	-	-	-	(24,030)	-	-	-	(24,030)
Net income – 1999	-	-	-	-	-	-	37,050	37,050 13,020
Comprehensive income Balances at December 31, 1999	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	176,997	(24,200)	(212,793)	(156,866)	523,752
Unrealized holding loss in	9,727,032	400,392	254,222	170,997	(24,200)	(212,793)	(130,000)	323,732
marketable securities	_	_	-	(60,186)	_	_	_	(60,186)
Reclassification adjustment for				(,)				(,,
gains included in net income	-	-	-	(47,100)	-	-	-	(47,100)
Reclassification adjustment for								
securities sold with gains								
previously included in other				(89,587)				(89,587)
comprehensive income Net loss – 2000	_	-	-	(09,307)	-	-	(102,602)	(102,602)
Comprehensive (Loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(102,002)	(299,475)
Balances at December 31, 2000	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	(19,876)	(24,200)	(212,793)	(259,468)	224,277
Unrealized holding loss in		,		(10,010)	(= :,===)	(=:=,:=;)	(===,:==)	
marketable securities	-	-	-	(17,108)	-	-	-	(17,108)
Reclassification adjustment for								
gains included in net income	-	-	-	45,455	-	-	-	45,455
Reclassification adjustment for securities sold with gains								
previously included in other				(06.770)				(26 770)
comprehensive income Net loss – 2001	-	-	-	(26,778)	-	-	(145,648)	(26,778) (145,648)
Comprehensive (Loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(140,040)	(144,079)
Balances at December 31, 2001	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	(18,307)	(24,200)	(212,793)	(405,116)	80,198
3. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7.		.50,552		(.0,001)	(= :,==5)	(= : = ; : 00)	(100,110)	(Continued)

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 and 2005, AND FROM THE PERIOD OF EXPLORATION STAGE FROM 1991 TO 2006

	Common	Stock	Additional Paid-In	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	Treasury	Retained Earnings	Accumulated During Exploration Stage (1991	
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Income (Loss)	Stock	(Deficit)	through 2006)	Total
-			'	\ /			,	
Unrealized loss in marketable securities Reclassification adjustment for	-	-	-	(2,994)	-	-	-	(2,994)
losses included in net income Reclassification adjustment for securities sold with gains	-	-	-	13,298	-	-	-	13,298
previously included in other comprehensive income	_	_	_	(14,294)	_	_	_	(14,294)
Net loss – 2002	-	-	-	(14,234)	-	-	(95,651)	(95,651)
Comprehensive (loss)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(99,641)
Balances at December 31, 2002	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	(22,297)	(24,200)	(212,793)	(500,767)	(19,443)
Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income Reclassification adjustment for	-	-	-	34,335	-	_`	-	34,335
securities sold with losses previously included in other comprehensive income	-	-	-	(12,948)	-	-	-	(12,948)
Unrealized gain in marketable securities Net loss - 2003	- -	-	-	4,830	-	- -	(95,473)	4,830 (95,473)
Comprehensive (loss)	_	_	_	_	_	_	(55,475)	(69,256)
Balances at December 31, 2003	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	3,920	(24,200)	(212,793)	(596,240)	(88,699)
Unrealized gain in marketable								
securities Net loss – 2004	-	-	-	14,187	-	-	- (111,424)	14,187 (111,424)
Comprehensive (loss)	- -	-	-	-	-	-	(111,424)	(97,237)
Balances at December 31, 2004	9,727,852	486,392	254,222	18,107	(24,200)	(212,793)	(707,664)	(185,936)
Purchase 1,883,525 shares treasury stock	, ,	,	,	,	(376,705)	, , ,	, , ,	(376,705)
Stock options issued and	_	_	_	_	(370,703)	_	_	(370,703)
expensed (150,000 shares) Reclassification adjustment for	-	-	16,380	-	-	-	-	16,380
securities sold with losses previously included in other Comprehensive income	_	_	_	(17,622)	_	_	_	(17,622)
Net income – 2005	_	-	-	(17,022)	_	_	1,856,493	1,856,493
Balances at December 31, 2005	9,727,852	486,392	270,602	485	(400,905)	\$ 212,793)	1,148,829	1,292,610
Stock issued for accounts pay-								
Able	225,000	11,250	18,000	-	-	-	-	29,250
Cancel 1,883,525 shares treasury stock	(1,883,525)	(94,176)	(8,835)		376,705		(273,694)	
Stock options issued and	(1,003,323)	(34,170)	(0,033)	-	370,703	-	(273,094)	-
expensed (360,000 shares) Net loss – 2006		-	39,240	-	-	-	- (171,879)	39,240 (171,879)
Balances at December 31, 2006	8,069,327	\$ 403,466	\$ 319,007	\$ 485	\$ (24,200)	\$ (212,793)	\$ 703,256	\$ 1,189,221

(Concluded)

Deficit

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Business Operations

Business Operations

Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. ("Thunder Mountain" or "The Company") was originally incorporated under the laws of the State of Idaho on November 9, 1935, under the name of Montgomery Mines, Inc. In April 1978, the Montgomery Mines Corporation was obtained by a group of the Thunder Mountain property holders and changed its name to Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc., with the primary goal to further develop their holdings in the Thunder Mountain Mining District, Valley County, Idaho. Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc., takes its name from the Thunder Mountain Mining District in Valley County, Idaho, where its principal lode mining claims were located. In recent years the Company's activities have been restricted to maintaining its property position and exploration activities. During 2005, the Company sold its holdings in Idaho, and continued its exploration activities.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are recognized in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," whereby deferred income tax liabilities or assets at the end of each period are determined using the tax rate expected to be in effect when the taxes are actually paid or recovered. A valuation allowance is recognized on deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some or all of these deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Exploration Stage Enterprise

The Company's financial statements are prepared using the accrual method of accounting and according to the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 7, "Accounting for Development Stage Enterprises," as it devotes substantially all of its efforts to acquiring and exploring mining interests that will eventually provide sufficient net profits to sustain the Company's existence. Until such interests are engaged in commercial production, the Company will continue to prepare its financial statements and related disclosures in accordance with entities in the exploration stage.

<u>Investments</u>

Marketable securities are stated at market value. The market value is based on quoted market prices or other fair value estimates provided by third party portfolio managers.

Exploration Costs

Exploration costs are charged to operations when incurred.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are carried at cost. Depreciation is computed using straight line depreciation methods with useful lives of three to seven years. Major additions and improvements are capitalized. Costs of maintenance and repairs, which do not improve or extend the life of the associated assets, are expensed currently. When there is a disposition of property and equipment, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is reflected in net income. During 2005, the Company abandoned fully depreciated office equipment that had an original cost basis of \$7,141.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Business Operations - (Continued)

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Comprehensive Income

In 1998, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income," issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). The Company reports accumulated other comprehensive income as a separate component of stockholders' equity and in its statements of operations.

Earnings Per Share

The Company computes basic earnings per common share by dividing the net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share are calculated by including all potentially dilutive common stock equivalents such as stock options. At December 31, 2006, certain individuals had options to purchase 375,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.09 per share and 360,000 shares at \$0.11 per share.

Share-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R), a revision of SFAS 123, "Share-based payment". SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees and directors, including grants of employee stock options, be measured at fair value and expensed in the statement of operations over the service period. See Note 4 for additional information. In addition to the recognition of expense in the financial statements, under SFAS 123(R), any excess tax benefits received upon exercise of options will be presented as a financing activity inflow rather than as an adjustment of operating activity as presented in prior years.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" (FIN 48), which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2006. The purpose of FIN 48 is to clarify and set forth consistent rules for accounting for uncertain tax positions in accordance with SFAS 109, "Accounting For Income Taxes". The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of this interpretation are required to be reported separately as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings in the year of adoption. The Company is in the process of reviewing and evaluating FIN 48, but does not believe that its adoption will have a material impact on the financial statements.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to conform prior year's data to the current presentation. These reclassifications have no effect on the results of reported operations or stockholders' equity as previously reported.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, and income taxes payable (receivable) approximated their fair values as of December 31, 2006 and 2005.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Business Operations - (Continued)

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers cash in banks and cash in other financial institutions with maturities of three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents. The Company's cash is held in a Merrill Lynch money market fund at December 31, 2006, and is not covered by insurance of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC").

2. Investments

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities." SFAS No. 115 establishes generally accepted accounting principles for the financial accounting and measurement and disclosure principles for (1) investments in equity securities that have readily determinable fair market value and (2) all investments in debt securities.

Investments in small local mining companies are stated at estimated fair value and classified as non-current investments. During 2005 the marketable securities available for sale-current were sold for a realized gain of \$16,712.

The following information relating to investments, non-current, as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, is as follows:

	Adjusted Cost		Unrealized Gains		Fair Value	
December 31, 2005: Investments, non-current	\$	1,080	\$	485	\$	1,565
December 31, 2006: Investments, non-current	\$	1,080	\$	485	\$	1,565

The tax effect related to unrealized gains in investments, non-current during 2005 and 2006 is immaterial to the financial statements.

3. Mining Claims

Substantially all of the Company's patented and unpatented claims in the Thunder Mountain Mining district were sold to the Trust For Public Land on September 1, 2005, for \$5,500,000, netting the Company a gain on the sale of \$2,576,112. The Company had entered into an agreement concerning the claims sold with Dewey Mining Company ("Dewey") and certain other private parties that controlled Dewey and that were also major shareholders of Thunder Mountain. This agreement resulted in the payment of approximately \$3,300,000 to the parties, \$2,923,888 of which was for Dewey's interest in the property sold and \$376,705 was for the purchase of 1,883,525 shares of the Company's common stock (See Note 4 - Treasury Stock).

4. Share-Based Compensation

Effective for January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method. The Company previously accounted for these plans under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" (APB25) and related interpretations and disclosure requirements established by SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation".

On October 15, 2006, options to purchase 285,000 shares of the Company's restricted stock at \$0.11 were issued to the Company's five directors; and options to purchase 75,000 shares of the Company's restricted common stock at \$0.11 were issued to the Company's accounting and legal consultants. The fair value of the options granted were recognized as Directors' fees of \$31,065 and Legal and accounting expense of \$8,175 during the year ended December 31, 2006.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Share-Based Compensation – (Continued)

On October 26, 2005, options to purchase 225,000 shares of the Company's restricted stock at \$0.09 were issued to the Company's three directors; and options to purchase 150,000 shares of the Company's restricted common stock at \$0.09 were issued to the Company's accounting and legal consultants.

At December 31, 2006, all 735,000 stock options were outstanding and not exercised.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants in:

	2006	2005
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected volatility	146%	99%
Risk-free interest rate	4.76%	4.46%
Expected term (in years)	5	5

The average risk-free interest rate is based on the five-year US treasury security rate in effect as of the grant date. The expected volatility is determined using a weighted average of weekly historical volatility of the stock price over a period of one year prior to the grant dates.

For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company recognized \$39,240 and \$16,380, respectively, in share-based compensation expense. During 2005 the \$31,065 would have affected the Company's net loss if FAS 123(R) were then effective. The income tax effect of share-based compensation during both years is immaterial.

The following below illustrates the effect on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123(R) to equity-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2005. The reported and pro forma net income and net income per share for the year ended December 31, 2006 are the same because equity-based compensation is calculated under the provisions of SFAS 123(R). The amounts for the year ended December 31, 2006 are included in the following table only to provide net loss and net loss per share for a comparative presentation to the periods of the previous years. The pro forma disclosure for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 utilized the Black-Scholes option-pricing formula to estimate the value of the respective options.

	2006	2005
Net income (loss) as reported	(171,879)	\$1,856,493
Less stock based compensation expense as	-	
determined by the fair value method		\$(31,065)
Net income, pro-forma	-	\$1,825,428
Basic income (loss) per share	\$ (0.02)	\$0.20
Diluted income (loss) per share	\$(.0.02)	\$0.20

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. Share-Based Compensation – (Continued)

A summary of the status of exercisable stock option grants as of the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and changes during the period are as follows:

	Options	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted average contractual remaining term	Aggregate intrinsic value
Outstanding at December 31, 2005	375,000	\$0.09		
Granted	360,000	\$0.11		
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	735,000	\$0.10	4.3	\$14,850

The weighted-average grant date fair value of the options granted during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were \$0.106 and \$0.109, respectively. There were no options exercised during 2005 or 2006.

Treasury Stock

During 2005, and in connection with the Company's sale of patented and unpatented claims in the Thunder Mountain Mining district, the Company purchased 1,883,525 shares of its own common stock for \$376,705, and returned these shares to the Company's treasury. The shares were repurchased to increase the Company's net book value per share and to successfully consummate the purchase of Dewey's interest in the Thunder Mountain property. The Company cancelled these shares during 2006 and reduced shares issued and outstanding.

5. Related Party Transactions

In addition to the transactions described in Notes 3 and 4, the Company had the following transactions with related parties:

Credit Line - Dewey Mining Company

On May 15, 2003, the Company entered into a Multiple Advance Note Payable ("the Dewey Credit Line") with Dewey Mining Company, a Company controlled by major shareholders of Thunder Mountain. The note was established for purposes of marketing or developing the Thunder Mountain properties. The note accrued interest at 8%, and was collateralized with a mortgage and assignment of contracts, leases and rents. In May 2005, the Company paid the accrued interest and principal due in full.

Secured Convertible Promissory Note Payable

On May 12, 2005, the Company entered into a Convertible Promissory Note payable with E. James and Leta Mae Collord, the Company's President and his wife. The note provided for borrowings up to \$250,000, accrued interest at 7.5%, was convertible into the Company's common stock at \$0.15 per share, and matured May 12, 2007. Proceeds of the note were used to pay the Dewey Credit Line and continue funding the Company's Thunder Mountain property sale efforts. Upon the sale of the Company's Thunder Mountain property, \$241,500 of principal and \$10,565 of accrued interest were paid to Mr. Collord and his wife.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. Income Taxes

At December 31, 2004, the Company had \$927,305 in net tax operating loss carryforwards that were used to offset taxable income in 2005. At December 31, 2006 and 2005 the Company had recognized no material deferred income tax assets or liabilities. The net tax operating loss of approximately \$265,000 for 2006 was carried back to 2005 and resulted in a current tax asset of income tax refunds receivable at December 31, 2006, as follows:

Federal	\$ 90,025
State	17,414
Total	\$ 107,439

The income tax benefits (provisions) shown in the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, are composed as follows:

	2006	2005
Federal	\$ 90,025	\$ (342,662)
State	17,414	(160,852)
Total	\$ 107,439	\$ (503,514)

The income tax (provision) benefit shown in the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, differs from the federal statutory rate as follows:

_	200	6	2005		
	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	
(Provision) benefit at federal and state statutory rates	\$117,314	42%	\$(981,763)	(42)%	
Effect of charitable contribution Deduction			37,570	2%	
Effect of net operating loss carryforwards (carrybacks)	(9,875)	(4)%	412,340	17%	
Other			28,339	2%	
Total	\$107,439	38%	\$(503,514)	(21)%	

The Company made an \$8,000,000 donation to the Trust for Public Land during 2005 by selling property and mining claims at less than fair value. The Trust for Public Land is a nonprofit public benefit corporation under Section 501(C)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code and the donation qualifies as a charitable contribution. The contribution deduction is limited to 10% of taxable income for the year in which the deduction was made and is permitted to carry over to the five succeeding tax year's contributions that exceed the 10% limitation. The deductions in succeeding years are also subject to the 10% limitation. The charitable contribution carry forward would normally result in a recorded deferred tax asset. However, since the Company has no revenue from operations in recent years and has no current operations, the deferred tax asset is fully reserved and is not reflected herein.

During 2006, the Company did not use any of the charitable contribution carryforwards. During 2005, the Company utilized \$110,500 of charitable contribution deduction to offset income tax expense on its net income. At December 31, 2006, \$7,889,500 of charitable contribution deduction carryforwards remained.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

7. Earnings Per Share

The following table reconciles the net income (loss) and weighted-average share amounts to compute both basic and diluted earnings per share:

	2006	2005
Net income (loss)	\$ (171,879)	\$ 1,856,493
Basic earnings per share: Weighted-average shares Outstanding	8,056,394	9,088,310
Diluted earnings per share: Weighted-average shares outstanding – Basic Incremental shares from assumed	8,056,394	9,088,310
conversion of stock options	 -	42,374
Weighted-average shares outstanding – Diluted	8,056,394	9,130,684

ITEM 8 - DISAGREEMENTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Board of Directors authorized the engagement of DeCoria, Maichel, and Teague, P.S. as the Company's independent auditors.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, there were no disagreements between the Company and its independent certified public accountants concerning accounting and financial disclosure.

ITEM 8A - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management, with participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of December 31, 2006.

Based upon this evaluation, we determined that there were material weaknesses affecting our internal control over financial reporting and, as a result of those weaknesses, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2006. These material weaknesses are as follows:

- There is a lack of segregation of duties in the cash disbursement and transaction recording process. A single person has control over cash receipts and cash disbursements.
- Certain disclosures regarding the Company's adoption of a new accounting principle were missing
 in our draft financial statements. Our financial statements required adjustment to properly reflect
 Share-Based Payments made to directors and outside consultants during the fourth quarter of
 2006.

Management's Remediation Initiatives

Management is dedicated to remediating issues related to segregation of duties and plans to strive to minimize control weaknesses that arise therefrom. As with any company our size, this lack of segregation of duties is due to the Company's limited resources. Although the Company's President and Board of Directors review financial statements and would most likely discover any misappropriation of funds, this cannot be assured by the existing system. Hiring a CPA to perform our quarterly close and prepare the related financial statements has improved the process, but exposure to misstatements still exists. The Company is considering control design changes that will mitigate this weakness.

Upon discovering our material weakness regarding the implementation of a new accounting principle, we counseled with our CPA that prepares our financial statements and discussed how controls might be improved to ensure that new accounting principles are properly implemented. He is currently reviewing the matter and is expected to report to us his recommendations in control design changes that will successfully remediate this material weakness.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Except as noted above, there have been no changes during the quarter ended December 31, 2006 in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, internal controls over financial reporting.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls

Management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Further, because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Projections of any evaluation of controls effectiveness to future periods are subject to risks. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures.

ITEM 8B - OTHER INFORMATION

The Company filed no reports on Form 8K during 2006

The Company redeemed 1,883,525 shares (equivalent to 18.9% of its outstanding shares) in the transaction with Dewey Mining Company on September 1, 2005. The shares were cancelled during 2006.

PART III

ITEM 9 - DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

This section sets forth certain information with respect to the Company's current directors and executive officers, as well as information about appointments subsequent to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

Directors and Executive Officers:

Name	Age	Office with the Company	Appointed to Office
E. James Collord	60	President, CEO, Director	Since 1978
Pete Parsley	48	Vice Pres, Director	Since 1999
		Exploration Manager	
Eric T. Jones	44	Sec/Treasurer, CFO, Director	Since March 2006
Dr. Robin S. McRae	66	Director	Since 1978
Edward D. Fields	69	Director	Since March 2006

Background and experience:

E. James Collord has a Masters of Science degree in exploration geology from the Mackay School of Mines, University of Nevada, Reno. He has been a mining professional since 1973, employed in a variety of capacities, including mill construction superintendent, exploration geologist, mine construction and reclamation manager, and in environmental and lands management. During the period 1975 through 1997, Jim worked for Freeport Exploration where he worked with a successful exploration team that discovered several Nevada mines. Later in his Freeport career, he managed mining operations and lead permitting efforts. For the period 1997 through 2005, Jim was Environmental and Lands Superintendent at Cortez Gold Mines, a large Nevada mine. Since 2005, he has been managing the Elko offices for environmental and hydrogeologic consulting groups. He is the grandson of Daniel C. McRae, the original locator of the gold prospects in the Thunder Mountain Gold Mining District.

Pete Parsley has a Masters in Science degree in geology from the University of Idaho. He has been a mining professional since 1985 and has experience in gold exploration, mine development, construction, reclamation, and environmental compliance and permitting. He has been associated with the Thunder Mountain Project since 1985, including being the project manager for the exploration program by USMX/Dakota Mining that defined the Dewey mineralization. Recently, he served as President and Exploration Manager for Triumph Gold Corporation that had interests in the United States, China and South America. Mr. Parsley was appointed Vice President and Exploration Manager for Thunder Mountain Gold, Inc. on April 1, 2006.

Eric T. Jones has over 17 years of varied mining, financial and entrepreneurial experience. He has held positions for Hecla Mining at their Rosebud Mine in Nevada and Stibnite, Idaho. For Dakota Mining, Mr. Jones was Mine General Manager for the Stibnite, Idaho gold heap leach operation. He was also engaged as a manager of a successful Boise, Idaho-based private investment fund during the period 1997-2002. Mr. Jones currently works with various successful entities in business development. Due to Eric's varied business experience, in 2006 the Board appointed him to the position of Secretary/Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer.

Dr. Robin S. McRae is a graduate of the Pacific College of Optometry and is a retired Boise optometrist. He is also the grandson of Daniel C. McRae, and is the son of Robert J. McRae, author of numerous geological reports concerning the Thunder Mountain Mining District. His knowledge of mining and related exploratory activities is derived from three generations of ownership of the Sunnyside group of claims that the Registrant previously owned.

Edward D. Fields is a professional mineral resource geologist with over 40 years of experience. He was Manager of Mineral Resources for Boise Cascade Corporation (1983-1999), and was responsible for the discovery of a significant underground gold resource in Washington State. He also worked for Kennecott Copper Company at their Ok Tedi Mine in Papua New Guinea and as Chief Geologist for the Duval Corporation at the Battle Mountain, Nevada copper-gold mine. Mr. Fields has a MS degree in geology from the University of Wyoming.

Directorships in reporting companies:

None of the directors of the Registrant is a director of any other corporation subject to the requirements of Section 12 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934.

Significant Employees:

Pete Parsley was a full-time employee for the Company for the period April 1 through December 31, 2006. He was the only employee in 2006.

Family Relationships:

Dr. Robin S. McRae is the cousin of E. James Collord, the President of the Registrant.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings:

None of the officers and directors of the Registrant have been involved in any bankruptcy, insolvency, or receivership proceedings as an individual or member of any partnership or corporation; none have ever been convicted in a criminal proceeding or is the subject of a criminal proceeding presently pending. None have been involved in proceedings concerning his ability to act as an investment advisor, underwriter, broker, or dealer in securities, or to act in a responsible capacity for an investment company, bank savings and loan association, or insurance company or limiting his activity in connection with the purchase and sale of any security or engaging in any type business practice. None have been enjoined from engaging in any activity in connection with any violation of federal or state securities laws nor been involved in a civil action regarding the violation of such laws.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance:

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our directors and executive officers, among others, to file with the SEC, an initial report of ownership of our stock on a Form 3 and reports of changes in ownership on a Form 4 or a Form 5. Persons subject to Section 16 are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file. Under SEC rules, certain forms of indirect ownership and ownership of company stock by certain family members are covered by these reporting requirements.

Based solely on a review of the copies of such forms in our possession, and on representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during fiscal 2006, our officers and directors, namely Messrs. Collord, Parsley, Jones, McRae, and Fields did not file timely reports on certain option and stock grants during the fourth quarter of 2006. Corrective Form 5 filings have been timely made for Messrs. Collord, Parsley, Jones, McRae, and Fields. Other than the foregoing, the Company is not aware of any failure on the part of any Reporting Persons to timely file reports required pursuant to Section 16(a).

Audit Committee:

The Board of Directors has not previously established an Audit Committee. The Board previously determined that the Company is unable to attract or retain one or more independent members that could be classified as an "audit committee financial expert" due to the high cost of such director candidates. Now that the Company has resumed operations, the Board will review the situation and consider a revised determination at such time as funds and opportunity become available.

Compensation Committee:

There is no Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors.

Code of Ethics:

The Board of Directors has not currently adopted a code of ethics. The Board is undertaking the evaluation of a code of ethics and expects to finalize and adopt a code during 2007. Proper disclosure will be undertaken subsequent to adoption of a code of ethics by the Board of Directors.

ITEM 10 - EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Other than the salary paid to Pete Parsley as Exploration Manager, the company paid no annual salaries or bonuses to any executive officer or director.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table provides certain summary information concerning compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and three other highest paid executive officers. No officer received a salary and bonus exceeded \$100,000 (collectively, the "named executive officers") for fiscal year 2006.

Name & Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(4)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value And Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation Directors Fee (\$) (6)	
E. James Collord	2006	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,900	\$0	\$0	\$12,500	\$23,400(1)
President & CEO	2005	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$25,000(1)
	2004	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Robin S. McRae	2006	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 3,270	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 3,270
	2005	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$ 25,000(2)
	2004	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pete Parsley	2006	\$72,000(5)	\$0	\$0	\$ 8,175	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 80,175
Vice-President	2005	\$0	\$0	\$3,750(4)	\$ 7,875	\$0	\$0	\$45,000	\$ 56,625(3)
Expl. Manager	2004	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Eric Jones (6) Secretary/Treasurer	2006	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 5,450	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$ 10,450(1)
Edward Fields (6)	2006	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 3,270	\$0	\$0	\$3,000	\$ 6,270(1)

- (1) Directors fees paid for services in 2006.
- (2) Directors fees paid for services in 2005 totaled \$15,000. In addition, \$10,000 was paid in advance for services to be rendered in 2006.
- (3) Directors fees paid for services in 2005 totaled \$30,000. In addition, \$15,000 was paid in advance for services to be rendered in 2006.
- (4) The company issued 75,000 shares of common stock to Mr. Parsley at par value of \$0.05 per share, for services during the last quarter of the year ended December 31, 2005.
- (5) The Board of Directors authorized a resolution employing Mr. Parsley, commencing April 2006. Compensation reflects a nine-month period.
- (6) Eric Jones and Edward Fields were appointed to Board during 2006.

The following table sets forth information with respect to the executive officers and directors listed above, concerning the grants of options and Stock Appreciation Rights ("SAR") during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006:

Option Awards

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options(1) (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Exercise Date
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
E. James Collord	100,000	0	0	\$0.11	October 15, 2011
Robin S. McRae	30,000	0	0	\$0.11	October 15, 2011
Pete Parsley	75,000	0	0	\$0.11	October 15, 2011
Eric T. Jones	50,000	0	0	\$0.11	October 15, 2011
Edward Fields	30,000	0	33 0	\$0.11	October 15, 2011

Exercise of Options:

None of the options granted to officers and directors during 2005 or 2006 have been exercised. The only other options outstanding other than as disclosed above, were cumulative outstanding options to acquire 75,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock, \$0.05 par value, exercisable for a period of 5 years,, with an exercise price of \$0.11 per share, of which 50,000 were granted to the Company's counsel and 25,000 to an accountant.

Long-term Incentives:

The Company has no long-term incentive plans at present.

Compensation of Directors:

The Board of Directors is empowered to vote compensation to directors for their performance of duties to the Company. In addition, directors are reimbursed for their verifiable expenses incurred in attending meetings and conducting their duties on behalf of the Company. Director compensation is summarized in the following table:

Director	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)	
E. James Collord	\$12,500		\$10,900				\$23,400	
Pete Parsley			\$ 8,175				\$ 8,175	
Eric T. Jones	\$5,000		\$ 5,540				\$10,450	
Dr. Robin McRae			\$3,270				\$ 3,270	
Edward Fields	\$3,000		\$ 3,270				\$ 6,270	

There are no compensatory plans or arrangements for compensation of any Director in the event of his termination of office, resignation or retirement.

Employment Contracts:

During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Board of Directors passed a resolution to employ Pete Parsley for duties relevant to his position as Vice President and Exploration Manager, effective April 2006. Mr. Parsley remained as the only employee for the balance of the year. Other than a monthly salary, plus normal employer burden, there are no additional benefits outlined as part of the resolution. A salary service located in Elko, Nevada is used to management Mr. Parsley's salary and employee and employer burden and ensuring all appropriate payroll taxes are collected and paid.

2007 Share-Based Payments:

The Board also granted stock to all members of the Board for their service as Directors during 2007. The Directors received the following: E. James Collord (100,000 shares), Pete Parsley (200,000 shares), Eric Jones (100,000 shares), Dr. Robin McRae (50,000 shares) and Ed Fields (50,000 shares). The granted shares will carry the same restrictions as those purchased under the private offering.

ITEM 11 - SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of shares of the Company's common stock as of December 31, 2006 by:

- the Company's named executive officers;
- the Company's directors at December 31,2006;
- all of the Company's executive officers and directors as a group; and,
- each person who is known to beneficially own more than 5% of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of common stock.

	Amount and Nature of Beneficial	
Name of Shareholder	Ownership	Percent of Class(2)
Directors and Executive Officers		
E. James Collord(3)(4)	744,250(1)	9.22%
Robin S. McRae(3)	196,955(1)	2.44%
Pete Parsley(3)	225,000(1)	2.79%
Eric Jones	50,000(1)	0.06%
Edward Fields	30,000(1)	0.04%
All current executive officers and directors as a group	1,246,205	15.4%
5% or greater shareholders		
Frank Brown Family	510,500(5)	5.1%
PO Box 704		
McCall, ID 83683		

The discussion herein reflects the cancellation of shares acquired September 1, 2005, from the Dewey Mining Company of 1,883,525 shares (equivalent to 18.9% of our outstanding shares). The shares were in the process of being cancelled.

Changes in Control:

The Board of Directors is aware of no circumstances which may result in a change of control of the Company.

⁽¹⁾ Sole voting and investment power.

⁽²⁾ Based on 8,069,327 shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2006.

⁽³⁾ Includes options to purchase 75,000 shares of Company common stock at \$0.09 per share and 75,000 shares at \$0.11 per share.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes 50,000 shares held in trust for child.

⁽⁵⁾ Shares are believed to be held indirectly by family trust or other related entities.

ITEM 12 - CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

<u>Transactions With Management And Others:</u>

See Note 5 to the Financial Statements.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, there have been no transactions with:

- Any Director or executive officer
- Any Nominee for election as a director
- Any immediate family member of any of the foregoing, or
- Any security holder known to the issuer to own beneficially or of record more than 5% of the Registrant's voting securities.

In March 2007, the Company purchased a used 2003 four-wheel drive pickup from Pete Parsley for \$21,500. This truck will be for his continued use in Company exploration activities, as discussed further in the Capital Expenditures (above).

Certain Business Relationships:

There have been no unusual business relationships during the last fiscal year of the Registrant between the Registrant and affiliates as described in Item 404 (b) (1-6) of the Regulation S-K.

Indebtedness of Management:

No Director or executive officer or nominee for Director, or any member of the immediate family of such has been indebted to the Company during the past year.

Transactions with Promoters:

Not Applicable.

PART IV

ITEM 13 - EXHIBITS

31.	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications (31)(i) Certification of E. James Collord (31)(ii) Certification of Eric T. Jones
32.	Section 1350 Certifications (32)(i) Certification of E. James Collord (32)(ii) Certification of Eric T. Jones

ITEM 14 - PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table presents fees billed to the Company relating to the audit of the Financial Statements at December 31, 2006, as provided by DeCoria, Maichel and Teague, P.S. We expect that DeCoria, Maichel and Teague, P.S. will serve as our auditors for fiscal year 2007.

Year Ended	December 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Audit fees (1)	\$29,844	\$11,000
Audit-related fees	\$ 7,485	-
Tax fees	-	-
All other fees	-	-
Total Fees	\$37,329	\$11,000

(1) Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services provided in connection with the audit of the Company's financial statements, and assistance with reviews of documents filed with the SEC. and reviews of the quarterly financial statements.

The Company's Board of Directors reviewed the audit services rendered by DeCoria, Maichel and Teague, P.S. and concluded that such services were compatible with maintaining the auditors' independence. All audit, non-audit, tax services, and other services performed by the independent accountants are pre-approved by the Board of Directors to assure that such services do not impair the auditors' independence from the Company.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 143 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf of the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THUNDER MOUNTAIN GOLD, INC.

/s/ E. James Collord	
Ву	
E. James Collord	
President, Director and Chief Executive Office	cei
Date: March 29, 2007	

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934 this report signed below by the following person on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities on the date indicated.

/s/ Eric T. Jones	
Ву	
Eric T. Jones	

Secretary/Treasurer and Director and Chief Financial Accounting Officer

Date: March 29, 2007

Exhibit 31.1

Certification

- I, E. James Collord, certify that:
- (1) I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-KSB of Thunder Mountain Gold Inc.
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the small business issuer and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and,
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ E. James Collord
By
E. James Collord
President, Director and Chief Executive Officer
Date: March 29, 2007

Exhibit 31.2

Certification

I, Eric T. Jones, certify that:

- (1) I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-KSB of Thunder Mountain Gold Inc.
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the small business issuer and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

	/s/ Eric T. Jones
By	
Eric	T. Jones
Secr	etary/Treasurer and Director and Chief Financial Accounting Officer
Date	e: March 29, 2007

Exhibit 32.1

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Thunder Mountain Gold Inc, (the "Company") on Form 10-KSB for the period ending December 31, 2006, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, E. James Collord, President, Director and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ E. James Collord
By
E. James Collord
President, Director and Chief Executive Officer
Date: March 29, 2007

Exhibit 32.2

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Thunder Mountain Gold Inc, (the "Company") on Form 10-KSB for the period ending December 31, 2006, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Eric T. Jones, Secretary/Treasurer, Director and Chief Financial Accounting Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/	/s/ Eric T. Jones
By _	
Eric '	T. Jones
Secre	etary/Treasurer and Director and Chief Financial Accounting Officer
Date	: March 29, 2007